

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,571	08/10/2000	Kazuhiko Nakamura	D01-4120/TK	6450
26689	7590 05/23/2003			
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON			EXAMINER	
CHICAGO, IL	ACKER DRIVE . 60606		SHORT, PA	TRICIA A
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	1
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/636571	Nakamura stal.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Short	1712
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—
riod for Reply	\mathcal{L}_{1}	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET F THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE CIVILED	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory minimal, ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication
tatus	. 1	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ril 21, 200	93
☐ This action is FINAL.	(
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exceed accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1		
isposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 35 - 98		is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) $55-98$ Of the above claim(s) $95-98$	- Annual Transfer Annual Control of the Control of	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s) 55 - 94		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ pplication Papers		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ pplication Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved jected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved jected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Pplication Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents here.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoxis The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtoxis The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner tiority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents harmber) International Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been
Claim(s) Claim(s) Pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents harmber) International Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ *Certified copies not received	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents had been approved. International Bureau (PCT F	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been
Claim(s) Claim(s) pplication Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoxing the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ttachment(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents had a priority documents had been been been been been been been bee	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Art Unit: 1712

This action is in response to the request for continued examination (RCE) and preliminary amendment filed on April 21, 2003.

Claims 95-98 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 55-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no description of "an ambience of chemical reaction" in the original specification. While example 1 provides support for reacting the isocyanate until the isocyanate group, as measured by IR absorption spectrum at a peak of 2,200 cm⁻¹, is no longer present in or vanished from the reaction system, there is no description of an ambience of chemical reaction.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 55-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by an ambience of chemical reaction. The phrase is

Art'Unit: 1712

not discussed or defined in the specification and thus, it is not clear what limitation is imposed by the language. How does it affect the meaning of the claim? Additionally, claims 57, 82 and 87 are indefinite with respect to the Formula (2) in that it is either missing or not properly identified.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 55-65, 79-85 and 91-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Scheve. The rejection is applied as in the Office action mailed March 7, 2002. As the claims encompass compositions containing the reaction product of an isocyanate and an alcohol, it is not clear how the claimed products would differ from the products prepared by the reference process in which the residual isocyanate is removed. Further, the claimed products, containing alcohol ester-bonded to part of the acidic functional groups, does not distinguish over the products of the reference containing copolymerized methacrylate. Process claims 91-94 do not require the step of reacting the isocyanate compound until the isocyanate group is vanished from the reaction system

Art Unit: 1712

P. Short
May 22, 2003
Phone (703) 308-2395
Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Petro a Sht